*Fig. 1*




Fig. 2

 American Psychological Association
 750 First Street, N.E.
 Washington, D.C. 20002-4242
 Tel: 202/336-5500
 Fax: 202/336-5508
 E-mail: info@apa.org
 Web: <http://www.apa.org>




Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B




Fig. 3C




Fig. 3D




Fig. 3E




Fig. 3F




Fig. 3G




Fig. 3H





Fig. 4A

Fig. 4B



09854577-051501

232




Fig. 4C





Fig. 4D

*Fig. 4E*




Fig. 4F




Fig. 4G




Fig. 4H




Fig. 4I




Fig. 5A

Fig. 5B






Fig. 5H

1024

SEARCH I

SEARCH TITLE: SEARCH I 1132

VOLUME: 910 1140

CATEGORY: f2d 1144

PAGE: 843 1148

SECTION: 1136

1152

ANALYSIS

☐ Similar

☐ Cases In

☒ Cases After 1156

EXECUTE SEARCH 1160

09854577.051501

SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATIONS OF THE EIGHTEEN PRIMARY PATTERNS




Fig. 6




Fig. 7

Fig. 8-1




Fig. 8-2

| | |
|--|--|
| LIBERTECH DEMONSTRATION INFOBASE | |
| ALVES V. COMMISSIONER, 734 F. 2D 478 (9TH CIR. 1984) | |
| DIEGO, CALIF, 92101, FOR PETITIONERS-APPELLANTS. JAY MILLER, | |
| ARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530, FOR | |
| PONDENT-APPELLEE | |
| DRE KENNEDY, SCHROEDER, AND BOOCHEVER, CIRCUIT JUDGES. | |
| NON | |
| ROEDER, CIRCUIT JUDGE: | |
| RENCE J. ALVES APPEALS A TAX COURT DECISION SUSTAINING THE | |
| MISSIONER'S FINDING OF DEFICIENCY FOR 1974 AND 1975. ALVES V. | |
| MISSIONER, 79 T. C. 864 (1982). THE APPEAL RAISES AN | |
| SUAL QUESTION UNDER SECTION 83 (b) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE, | |
| .S.C. § 83 (1982). SECTION 83 (b) REQUIRES THAT AN EMPLOYEE | |
| HAS PURCHASED RESTRICTED STOCK IN CONNECTION WITH HIS | |
| "FORMANCE OF SERVICES" MUST INCLUDE AS ORDINARY INCOME THE | |
| XX'S APPRECIATION IN VALUE BETWEEN THE TIME OF PURCHASE AND THE | |

| HIGHLIGHTER | | MISSIONER | | 79 | | BOOKMARK | | GO TO | | FIELD | |
|----------------|--|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|--|-------|--|
| 1033 | | CAMPBELL V. COMMISSIONER | | 59 T.C.M. 236 (1990) | | 2120 | | | | | |
| | | SCHULMAN V. COMMISSIONER | | 93 T.C. 623 (1989) | | | | | | | |
| | | ROBINSON V. COMMISSIONER | | 805 F. 2D 38 (1ST CIR. 1986) | | | | | | | |
| | | TREAS. REG § 1.83-3 (1985) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 26 U.S.C. § 83 (C) (1983) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | MONTELEPRE SYSTEMED, INC. V. COMMISSIONER | | 956 F. 2D 496 (5TH CIR. 1992) | | | | | | | |
| | | CENTEL COMM. CO., INC. V. COMMISSIONER | | 920 F. 2D 196 (7TH CIR. 1990) | | | | | | | |
| | | BAGLEY V. COMMISSIONER | | 806 F. 2D 169 (8TH CIR. 1986) | | | | | | | |
| | | REV. RUL. 83-22 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | AIDOO V. COMMISSIONER | | 65 T.C.M. 1798 (1993) | | | | | | | |
| JAN. 1980 | | JAN. 1982 | JAN. 1984 | JAN. 1986 | JAN. 1988 | JAN. 1990 | JAN. 1992 | | | | |
| RECORD: 8/1888 | | HIT: 1/10 | | QUERY: [GROUP 734 F. 2D 478: [FIELD 26 U.S.C. § 83: *]] | | | | | | | |

Fig. 8-3

Fig. 8-4

THE RESTRICTIONS LAPSE, UNLESS AT THE TIME HE PURCHASED THE STOCK
 .ECTED TO INCLUDE AS INCOME THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PURCHASE
 E AND THE FAIR MARKET VALUE AT THAT TIME. 1 THE ISSUE HERE IS
 THER SECTION 83 ☐ APPLIES TO AN EMPLOYEE'S PURCHASE OF
 RICTED STOCK WHEN, ACCORDING TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, THE
 UNT PAID FOR THE STOCK EQUALED ITS FULL FAIR MARKET VALUE, WITHOUT
 ARD TO ANY RESTRICTIONS. THE TAX COURT, WITH TWO DISSENTING
 JOINS, HELD THAT SECTION 83 ☐ APPLIES TO ALL RESTRICTED STOCK THAT IS
 NSFERRED "IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES."
 RDLESS OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR IT. 79 T. C. ☐ AT 878. WE AFFIRM.

TS

ERAL DIGITAL CORPORATION (THE COMPANY) WAS FORMED IN APRIL,
 b, TO MANUFACTURE AND MARKET MICRO-ELECTRONIC CIRCUITS. AT ITS
 T MEETING, THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RESOLVED TO ISSUE
 100 SHARES OF ITS COMMON STOCK TO ITS COMPANY PRESIDENT, AND
 100 SHARES TO THE COMPANY UNDERWRITER. THE BOARD ALSO VOTED TO
 AN ADDITIONAL 264,000 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK TO SEVEN NAMED




Fig. 9

Fig. 10A-1

INFLUENCE MAP - I

BROWN V. UNITED STATES

358 F.2D 1002 (CT. CL. 1984)

OVERRULLED: ISSUE NO. 1 (1992) 113 S. CT. 2035

OVERRULED: ISSUE NO. 2 (1993) 962 F. 2D 1013

2116

Fig. 10A-2





Fig. 10A-3



105

Fig. 10A-4

DONNELLY V. UNITED STATES
28 FED. CL. 62 (1993)

QUESTIONING ISSUE NO. 1 AT 65

GEORGE F. MILLER FARMS V. UNITED STATES
27 FED. CL. 672 (1993)

QUESTIONING ISSUE NO. 1 AT 675

HARDWICK BROS. CO. V. UNITED STATES
26 CL. CT. 884 (1992)

QUESTIONING AT 885

UNITED STATES

9)

JAN. 1989 JUL. 1990 JAN. 1991 JUL. 1991 JAN. 1992 JUL. 1992 JAN. 1993

Fig. 10B-1

SOURCE MAP - TERRY V. OHIO

TERRY V. OHIO
292 U.S. 1 (1968)

U.S. CONST. AMEND. IV 1791

DESIGNING IN THE FUTURE

Fig.10B-2

292 U.S. 1 (1968)

BECH V. OHIO
379 U.S. 89 (1964)

KATZ V. UNITED STATES
389 U.S. 347, 351 (1967)
(HARLAN, J., CONCURRING)

L. TIFFANY ET. AL.,
DETECTION OF CRIME (1967)

PRESTON V. UNITED STATES
376 U.S. 364 (1964)

PEOPLE V. RIVERA
14 N.Y. 2D 441 (1964)

CERT. DENIED 379 U.S. 978

CAMARA V. MUN. CT.
387 U.S. 523 (1967)

WARDEN V. HAYDEN
387 U.S. 294, 310 (1967)
(FORTAS, J., CONCURRING)

MAPP V. OHIO
367 U.S. 643 (1961)

ELHINS V. UNITED STATES
364 U.S. 206 (1960)

BRINEGAR V. UNITED STATES
338 U.S. 160 (1949)

UNITED STATES V. DI RE
332 U.S. 581 (1948)


JOHNSON V. UNITED STATES
333 U.S. 10 (1948)

CARROL V. UNITED STATES
267 U.S. 132 (1925)

ELLIS V. UNITED STATES
264 F. 2D 372 (1959)

00854577-051501

Fig. 10B-3



09854577.051501

Fig. 10B-4




Fig. 10C-2





Fig. 10C-3

THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 
15 U.S.C. § 78P (b) 1934

JAN. 1924 JAN. 1930 JAN. 1936 JAN. 1942 JAN. 1948 JAN. 1954

Fig. 10C-4



DEVICE
CATEGORIES

PRINTER
001

BITMAP
001

BITMAP
010

PRINTER
002

BITMAP
002

BITMAP
011

PRINTER
003
- - -

BITMAP
003

DISPLAY
001

DISPLAY
002

DISPLAY
003
- - -

OTHER

DATATYPE
1

DATATYPE
2

DATATYPE
3

- - -
BACKGROUND
OF WINDOW

2308

Fig. 11




Fig. 12

Fig. 13A-1

| FOLD BOUND VIEWS | |
|---|---|
| FILE EDIT VIEW SEARCH V-SEARCH WINDOW HELP | <div> <input type="checkbox"/> THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO... </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> H. L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> H. L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. 389, 425 (1981) (MARSHALL, J. DISSENTING) </div> <div>12 OF 20 ITEMS</div> |
| <div> OPEN </div> <div> SAVE </div> <div> QUERY </div> <div> CLEAR QUERY </div> <div> NEXT </div> <div> PREVIOUS </div> <div> BACKTRACK </div> | <div> UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY V. BOTSFORD. </div> <div> UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD 141 U.S. 250 </div> <div> ERROR TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF INDIANA. </div> <div> CAREY V. POPULATION SERVICES INTERNATIONAL 431 U.S. 678 (1977) </div> <div> MANNED PARENTHOOD OF MISSOURI V. DANFORTH 8 U.S. 52 (1976) </div> <div> ROE V. WADE </div> |

Fig. 13A-2

| | |
|--|--|
| <div>▶ □ X</div> | |
| <div>▶ □ X</div> | |
| UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. | |
| UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD 141 U.S. 250 (1981) | |
| 3 OF | |
| | |
| | |
| 001 V. SALKIEWICZ | |
| | |
| ANFORTH P ₀ | |

Fig. 13A-3







| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
|  TRAIL | | NO. 1375 SUBMITTED JANUARY 6, 1891.-DECIDED MAY 25, 1891. | | 410 U.S. 113 (1973) | | HARRI 448 U | |
|  CONTENTS | | | | E. 82 YALE L. J. 920 (1973) | | BELLOTTI V. 443 U. S. (| |
|  HIGHLIGHTER | | LIBERTECH CASE | | V. 74 COLUM. L. REV. 1410 (1974) | | | |
|  BOOKMARK | | HOLDING: FEDERAL COURTS DO NOT HAVE THE POWER UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES OR COMMON LAW TO ORDER A PLAINTIFF IN A PERSONAL INJURY CIVIL SUIT TO SUBMIT TO A PHYSICAL EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY THE | | DOE V. BOTTON 410 U.S. 179 (1973) | | | |
|  GO TO | | | | WISCONSIN V. VODER 486 U. S. 205 (1972) | | | |
|  FIELD | | | | EISENSTADT V. BAIRD 406 U.S. 438 (1972) | | MATHER V. ROE 432 U. S. 464 (197 | |
| | | | | JAN. 1967 | | JAN. 1971 | |
| | | | | JAN. 1975 | | JAN. 1975 | |
| | | | | RECORD 113/10715 | | QUERY | |

Fig. 13A-4

| | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|---|
| H. L. V. MATHESON 450 U.S. 398, 425 (1981) (MARSHALL, J. DISSENTING) | | | |
| V. ROE S. 484 (1977) | INTERNATIONAL | | |
| FOODY V. MANCHESTER MEMORIAL HOSP. 40 CONN. SUP. 127 (1984) | | | |
| HARRIS V. MCRAE 448 U.S. 297 (1980) | | | |
| BELLOTTI V. BAIRD 443 U.S. 622 (1979) | | | |
| JAN. 1976 | JAN. 1980 | JAN. 1981 | |
| ◀ | | | ▶ |

Fig. 13B-1

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| FOLIO BOUND VIEWS | |
| FILE | EDIT VIEW SEARCH V-SEARCH WINDOW HELP |
| THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY: ROE V. WADE AND BEYOND | |
| UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPA | |
| UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFOR | |
| ERROR TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR TH NO. 1375. SUBMITTED JANUARY 6, 1891.-DECIDED MAY 2 | |
| LIBERTECH CASE HOLDING: FEDERAL COURTS DO NOT HAVE THE POWER U TO ORDER A PLAINTIFF IN A PERSONAL INJURY CIVIL SUIT TO SUBMIT TO A DEFENSE TO DETERMINE THE EXTENT OF HIS OR HER INJURIES. JUSTICE GR THE COURT. | |








| | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
|  OPEN |  SAVE |  QUERY |  CLEAR QUERY |  NEXT |  PREVIOUS |  BACKTRACK |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|

Fig. 13B-2

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | ▶ | ◻ | ✕ |
| | | | |
| | ▶ | ◻ | ✕ |
| | | | |
| NY V. BOTSFORD | | | |
| D 141 U.S. 250 | | | |
| E DISTRICT OF INDIANA. | | | |
| 15, 1891. | | | |
| UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES OR COMMON LAW PHYSICAL EXAMINATION REQUESTED BY THE JAY WROTE THE OPINION FOR 7 MEMBERS OF | | | |

Fig. 13B-3








| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
|  TRAIL | | <input type="checkbox"/> UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD CLUSTER MAP | |
|  CONTENTS | | <input type="checkbox"/> UNION PACIFIC RAILWAY CO. V. BOTSFORD 141 U.S. 250 (1894) 6 OF 21 ITEMS | |
|  HIGHLIGHTER | | <input type="checkbox"/> U. S. CONST. AMEND. XIV 1869 | |
|  BOOKMARK | | <input type="checkbox"/> U. S. CONST. AMEND. 1791 | |
|  GO TO | | <input type="checkbox"/> SUPERINTENDE 373 MOES 728 | |
|  FIELD | | | |
| | | JAN. 1781 JAN. 1792 JAN. 1825 JAN. 1867 JAN. | |
| RECORD 113/10715 | | HIT 0/0 QUERY | |

Fig. 13B-4






Fig. 14A




Fig. 14B